LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. TARVER, JR. **66 South Main Street** Toms River, New Jersey 08757 (732) 341-2152 Telephone (732) 341-2153 Facsimile Robert L. Tarver, Jr.(0472)

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CHANELLE SANDERS, individually,

Attorney for Plaintiff

and as Administratrix Ad Prosequendum : CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-cv-05557

and Administratrix of the Estate of **Desmond Sanders** Civil Action

VS.

Plaintiff.

COUNTY OF ESSEX; ESSEX COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS: ESSEX COUNTY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY; ALFARO ORTIZ, individually and in his official capacity as Director; ROY

L. HENDRICKS, individually and in his official capacity as Warden; JOHN and JANE DOES (1-50); ABC **ENTITIES (1-50); XYZ PUBLIC**

ENTITIES (1-50)

Defendants.

1. Set forth the name of each attorney appearing, the firm name, address and telephone number and facsimile number of each, designating the party represented.

Robert L. Tarver, Jr., Esq. Law Offices of Robert L. Tarver, Jr.

66 South Main Street Toms River, NJ 08757 **Attorney for Plaintiff** Tel: (732) 341-2152 Fax: (732) 341-2153

Email: tarverlaw@comcast.net

Alan Ruddy, Esq. **Essex County Counsel**

465 Martin Luther King Blvd #530

PROPOSED JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN

Newark, NJ 07102 **Attorney for Defendants** Tel: (973) 621-5021 Fax: (973) 621-4599

Email:

aruddy@counsel.essexcountynj.org

2. Set forth a brief description of the case, including the causes of action and defenses asserted.

Plaintiff's Summary of the Case: Plaintiff alleges that her decedent, Desmond Sanders was killed by his cellmate on September 1, 2013. Defendants failed to monitor the activities of inmates, failed to guard against the known dangerous propensities of the assailant, failed to adequately train personnel, failed to have adequate policies and procedures in effect that could have prevented the death of the decedent and failed to follow their existing policies and procedures. Plaintiff makes claims under 42 USC §1983, the New Jersey Civil Rights Act, N.J.S.A.10:6-2(c); the New Jersey Wrongful Death Act, N.J.S.A. 2A:31-1, et seq.; the New Jersey Survivors Act, N.J.S.A. 2A:15-3 and the New Jersey Tort Claims Act, N.J.S.A. 59-1, et.seq.

Defendants' Summary of the Case:

Defendants deny all allegations as set forth in the Complaint.

3.	Have settlement discussions taken place? Yes NoX					
	(a) What was plaintiff's last demand? (1) Monetary demand: (2) Non-monetary demand: N/A N/A					
	(b) What was Defendants' last offer? (1) Monetary offer: (2) Non-monetary offer: N/A N/A					
4	The parties [have X have not] met pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f):					
	On June 17, 2015, counsel for the parties conferred regarding this joint discovery plan.					
5. The parties [have have not _X_] exchanged the information required Civ. P. 26(a)(1). If not, state the reason therefore.						
	The parties agree to provide their initial disclosures by July 30, 2015.					
6.	Explain any problems in connection with completing the disclosures required by Fed. R Civ. P. $26(a)(1)$. N/A					
7.	The parties [have have notX] conducted discovery other than the above					

disclosures. If so, describe.

- 8. Proposed Joint Discovery Plan:
 - (a) Discovery is needed on the following subjects:
 - (1) The factual and legal basis for Plaintiff's claims under Section 1983 and New Jersey Civil Rights Act, including, failure to monitor, failure to train, improper policy and practices;
 - (2) The factual and legal basis for Plaintiff's claims under the New Jersey Wrongful Death and Survivor Act statutes;
 - (3) The factual and legal basis of Plaintiff's common law claims;
 - (4) The factual basis for Plaintiff's alleged damages, including but not limited to any claims for compensatory and punitive damages; and
 - (b) Discovery should $\underline{\hspace{0.1cm}}$ should not $\underline{\hspace{0.1cm}}$ be conducted in phases or be limited to particular issues. Explain. N/A.
 - (c) Proposed schedule:
 - (1) Fed. R. Civ. P. R. 26 Disclosures by **July 31, 2015**.
 - (2) E-Discovery conference pursuant to L. Civ. R. 26.1 (d) **July 17**, 2015.
 - (3) Service of initial written discovery by August 15, 2015.
 - (4) Maximum of **25** Interrogatories by each party to each other party.
 - (5) Maximum number of **8** depositions to be taken by each party.
 - (6) Plaintiff's expert report, if needed, due on April 1, 2016.
 - (7) Defendants' expert report, if needed, due on May 15, 2016.
 - (8) Motions to amend or to add parties to be filed by **October 15**, **2015**.
 - (9) Dispositive motions to be served within **60** days of completion of discovery.
 - (10) Factual discovery to be completed by March 1, 2016.

(11	Expert	discovery	v to be	completed	by.	June 1	, 2016

- (d) Set forth any special discovery mechanism or procedure requested: <u>N/A</u>
- (e) A pretrial conference may take place on:

To be determined by the Court following the resolution of any dispositive motions.

- (f) Trial date: To be determined by the Court following the resolution of any dispositive motion. (_X_Jury Trial; ____ non-Jury Trial).
- 9. Do you anticipate any special discovery needs (i.e., videotape/telephone depositions, problems with out-of-state witnesses or documents, etc)? If so, please explain.

Telephone and/or video depositions may be necessary for any out-of-state witnesses.

10. Do you anticipate any issues about disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced?

If so, how will electronic discovery or data be disclosed or produced. Describe any agreements reached by the parties regarding same, including costs of discovery, production, related software, licensing agreements, etc.

11. Do you anticipate entry of a Discovery Confidentiality order? <u>See</u> L. Civ. R. 5.3(b) and Appendix S.

12. Do you anticipate any discovery problem(s) not listed above? Describe.

13. State whether this case is appropriate for voluntary arbitration (pursuant to Rule Local Civil Rule 201.1 or otherwise), mediation (pursuant to Local Civil Rule 301.1 or otherwise), appointment of a special master or other special procedure. If not, explain why and state whether any such procedure may be appropriate at a later time (<u>i.e.</u>, after exchange of pretrial disclosures, after completion of depositions, after disposition or dispositive motions, etc.)

Mediation may be appropriate following the exchange of written discovery and/or the completion of depositions of key party witnesses.

14.	Is this case appropriate for bifurcation?	Yes X No					
	As Plaintiff seeks punitive damages, damages.	bifurcation may be necessary as to Plaintiff's					
15.	An interim status/settlement conference (with clients in attendance), should be held following the exchange of written discovery on a date set by the Court.						
16.	We [do do not <u>X</u>] consent to this trial being conducted by a Magistrate Judge.						
17.	Identify any other issues to address at the Rule 16 Scheduling Conference.						
Discovery Issues relative to the Criminal Prosecution of Rahdi Richardson							
s/ Robert L. Tarver, Jr. Robert L. Tarver, Jr., Esq.		s/ Alan Ruddy, Esq.					
66 Sou Toms	Offices of Robert L. Tarver, Jr. uth Main Street River, NJ 08757 ney for Plaintiff	Essex County Counsel 465 Martin Luther King Blvd #530 Newark, NJ 07102 Attorney for Defendants					

Dated: June 17, 2015